

proposed). The system, while very accurate, is much too costly and impractical to administer to all or most companies and their workers. Typical ergonomic standards only require that the eyes are located between 20 and 30 inches from the screen. The vast majority of eyestrain is eliminated by such a simple ergonomic measure.

Conclusion

The two major objections of the examiner (1) implementation by laymen versus easy to use and (2) prior art by Stern are refuted in this amendment. In addition, several minor objections are defended.

Based upon the foregoing remarks and the rejections as far as they can be understood, applicant submits that the claims are allowable over the cited references. The dependent claims are also allowable for at least the reasons given above with respect to the independent claims and because they recite features which are patentable in their own right. Individual consideration of the dependent claims is requested.

In the event that the Examiner has any comments or suggestions, which could place this application in even better form, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the below-listed number.

Respectfully submitted,


Stephen L. Gordon

Dated: December 17, 2003

Stephen L. Gordon
505 Garden View Way
Rockville, MD 20850
Telephone: 301-977-7137
Facsimile: 240-683-6292